Methods and Prospects for Indirect Dark Matter Detection

Tim Linden

With much thanks to:

Stefano Profumo, Dan Hooper



Fermilab Graduate Student Talk Series

March 3, 2011

Dark Matter Astrophysics

Galactic Rotation Curves Zwicky (1934), Rubin (1975)



Cosmic Microwave Background is consistent with ACDM Universe

.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/12/Galactic-Rotation.ipg imedia.org/wikipedia/commons/e/ea/Bullet_cluster.jpg http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/thumb/2/2d/WMAP_2010.png/800px-WMAP_2010.png



Bullet Cluster

8σ rejection of some modified gravity theories (2006)

Also.

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations Gravitational Lensing Type IA Supernova **Structure Formation** Lyman-alpha Forest

Take Home: Many *independent* astrophysical observations indicate the existence of gravitational dark matter

Dark Matter Particle Physics

WIMP miracle predicts a particle of 100 GeV with weak interaction has correct relic density



$$\Omega_h \propto \langle \sigma v \rangle^{-1} \propto \frac{M_X^2}{g_X^4}$$

 $M_X^2 = 100 \text{ GeV}$
 $g_X^4 = 0.6$
 $M_h \sim 0.1$

Indirect vs. Direct Detection



http://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/lin/images/research_theory5.png

Pros and Cons of Indirect Detection

Using the galaxy as the "detector" produces a large number of events:

8.47 x 10³⁸
$$\frac{ann}{s} (\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{M_{DM}})^2 (\frac{<\sigma v>}{3 \times 10^{-26} \frac{cm^3}{s}})$$

- However no single dark matter event is separable from myriad astrophysical events
- These astrophysical backgrounds are highly uncertain
- Take Home: The name of the game is differentiating dark matter from astrophysics - NOT in observing a dark matter signal

Potentially Observable Signals



- Can detect the charged decay products themselves (PAMELA, AMS, etc.)
 - **But** are isotropic, so we lack spatial information



Pamela e⁺/e⁻ Ratio

$$T_g = 7.65 \times 10^{-7} pc \left(\frac{E}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{Z}\right) \left(\frac{1\mu G}{B}\right)$$

Potentially Observable Signals



Can detect the neutral decay products

• Y-rays

• Neutrinos

Angular information is conserved

Potentially Observable Signals



Can detect interactions between the charged annihilation products and the Galactic medium

Synchrotron Radiation





Inverse Compton Scattering

Partial conservation of angular information

Where Would we look for Dark Matter? (the incomplete list)

Galactic Center

- + Relatively Nearby
- + Large Dark Matter Density
- - Huge Astrophysical Uncertainties

Dwarf Galaxies

- + Very small astrophysical background
- Smaller Dark Matter Flux
- ICS and Synchrotron radiation weak

Galaxy Clusters

- + Largest dark matter densities in universe
- Very distant, thus local flux may still be small





Results (Charged Particles)

PAMELA Positron Excess



Dark Matter?



Barger et al. (2009)

Results (Charged Particles)

PAMELA Positron Excess

Or not?





Profumo (2008)

Results (Gamma-Rays)



Hooper & Goodenough (2010)

Dark Matter?





Or the galactic center?

Tim Linden Fermilab/UCSC

3/3/11

Results (Gamma-Rays)





Extragalactic Diffuse

Dwarf Galaxies

The Fermi Collaboration (2010)

Results Indirect Detection



"Fermi Bubbles" – Circular bubbles above and below the galactic center 2–50+ GeV





"WMAP Haze" – Excess of Synchrotron Radiation from below the galactic center with approximately spherical symmetry (23 – 41 Ghz)

Tim Linden

Fermilab/UCSC 3/3/11

Dobler & Finkbeiner (2010) Dobler et al. (2007) Hooper & Linden (2010)

Conclusions

- The "game" in indirect detection is not building detectors large enough to observe the products of dark matter annihilation – it's separating these signals from astrophysical signals
- This requires an ensemble of multi-component and multi-messenger observations.

However, we are quickly closing in on the cross-sections of thermal relic WIMPs