

# **Fundamental Physics with Neutron Stars**

Tim Linden

**The Ohio State University** 



## **Neutron Stars: The Big and the Small**

• Big: ~1.4 Mo

Small: Compressed into 10 km

• Big: Can spin up to 700 s-1 (0.2 c at surface)

Small: Oblate spheroid to < 1 part in a million</li>

## **Neutron Stars: Precision Physics**

 Neutron star spin among the best measured quantities in physics.

PSR J1713+0747

 $F = 218.8118437960826270 +/- 0.00000000000000988 s^{-1}$ 

F' = -4.083888637248 + / -0.0000143324982645 x 10<sup>-16</sup> s s<sup>-1</sup>


# A Dipole Model

Can precisely measure the magnetic field:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} \propto -\omega^4 R^6 B^2 \sin^2 \alpha$$
 
$$B \sim 3.3 \times 10^{19} \left[ P^2 \left( \frac{1}{P} \frac{dP}{dt} \right) \right]^{1/2} \; \mathrm{G}$$


 And approximately measure the age:

$$\tau = \frac{P}{2(dP/dt)}$$



## **Curvature Radiation**

Changing magnetic field produces electric field




1000 PV potential available to accelerate particles


Ruderman & Sutherland (1975)




# Multiwavelength Emission



credit: Dave Thompson



## **A Window Into Extreme Physics**



## A Window Into General Relativity

The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 848:L12 (59pp), 2017 October 20

https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9

© 2017. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.



#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger

LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, Fermi GBM, INTEGRAL, IceCube Collaboration, AstroSat Cadmium Zinc Telluride Imager Team, IPN Collaboration, The Insight-Hxmt Collaboration, ANTARES Collaboration, The Swift Collaboration, AGILE Team, The 1M2H Team, The Dark Energy Camera GW-EM Collaboration and the DES Collaboration, The DLT40 Collaboration, GRAWITA: GRAvitational Wave Inaf TeAm, The Fermi Large Area Telescope Collaboration, ATCA: Australia Telescope Compact Array, ASKAP: Australian SKA Pathfinder, Las Cumbres Observatory Group, OzGrav, DWF (Deeper, Wider, Faster Program), AST3, and CAASTRO Collaborations, The VINROUGE Collaboration, MASTER Collaboration, J-GEM, GROWTH, JAGWAR, Caltech-NRAO, TTU-NRAO, and NuSTAR Collaborations, Pan-STARRS, The MAXI Team, TZAC Consortium, KU Collaboration, Nordic Optical Telescope, ePESSTO, GROND, Texas Tech University, SALT Group, TOROS: Transient Robotic Observatory of the South Collaboration, The BOOTES Collaboration, MWA: Murchison Widefield Array, The CALET Collaboration, IKI-GW Follow-up Collaboration, H.E.S.S. Collaboration, LOFAR Collaboration, LWA: Long Wavelength Array, HAWC Collaboration, The Pierre Auger Collaboration, ALMA Collaboration, Euro VLBI Team, Pi of the Sky Collaboration, The Chandra Team at McGill University, DFN: Desert Fireball Network, ATLAS, High Time Resolution Universe Survey, RIMAS and RATIR, and SKA South Africa/MeerKAT (See the end matter for the full list of authors.)

Received 2017 October 3; revised 2017 October 6; accepted 2017 October 6; published 2017 October 16

#### Abstract

On 2017 August 17 a binary neutron star coalescence candidate (later designated GW170817) with merger time 12:41:04 UTC was observed through gravitational waves by the Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo detectors. The Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor independently detected a gamma-ray burst (GRB 170817A) with a time delay of  $\sim$ 1.7 s with respect to the merger time. From the gravitational-wave signal, the source was initially localized to a sky region of 31 deg<sup>2</sup> at a luminosity distance of  $40^{+8}_{-8}$  Mpc and with component masses consistent with neutron stars. The component masses were later measured to be in the range 0.86 to 2.26  $M_{\odot}$ . An extensive observing campaign was launched across the electromagnetic spectrum leading to the discovery of a bright optical transient (SSS17a, now with the IAU identification of AT 2017gfo) in NGC 4993 (at ~40 Mpc) less than 11 hours after the merger by the One-Meter, Two Hemisphere (1M2H) team using the 1 m Swope Telescope. The optical transient was independently detected by multiple teams within an hour. Subsequent observations targeted the object and its environment. Early ultraviolet observations revealed a blue transient that faded within 48 hours. Optical and infrared observations showed a redward evolution over ~10 days. Following early non-detections, X-ray and radio emission were discovered at the transient's position  $\sim 9$  and  $\sim 16$  days, respectively, after the merger. Both the X-ray and radio emission likely arise from a physical process that is distinct from the one that generates the UV/optical/near-infrared emission. No ultra-high-energy gamma-rays and no neutrino candidates consistent with the source were found in follow-up searches. These observations support the hypothesis that GW170817 was produced by the merger of two neutron stars in NGC 4993 followed by a short gamma-ray burst (GRB 170817A) and a kilonova/macronova powered by the radioactive decay of r-process nuclei synthesized in the ejecta.

radioactive decay of r-process nuclei synthesized in the ejecta.


arise from a physical process that is distinct from the one that generates the UV/optical/near-infrared emission. No ultra-high-energy gamma-rays and no neutrino candidates consistent with the source were found in follow-up searches. These observations support the hypothesis that GW170817 was produced by the merger of two neutron stars in NGC 4993 followed by a short gamma-ray burst (GRB 170817A) and a kilonova/macronova powered by the




# A Window Into Astrophysics



**Massive Stars** 



### **Shock Acceleration**



**Positron Excess** 

## A Window Into Fundamental Physics

- Sensitive probes of rare processes:
  - 1. Nuclear densities over macroscopic distances
  - 2. Strongest magnetic fields in the universe

Precise measurements are possible

## The Program

DM-NS
Interactions


Constrain Astrophysics Find Neutron Stars

## A Window Into Fundamental Physics


- Sensitive probes of rare processes:
  - 1. Nuclear densities over macroscopic distances
  - 2. Strongest magnetic fields in the universe


Precise measurements are possible

# Searching for Dark Matter Interactions



# Direct Detection: Experimental Efforts





## **Neutron Stars: The Optimal Direct Detection Experiment**



Xenon-1T


- 1000 kg
- 700 days

7 x 10<sup>5</sup> kg day

#### **Neutron Star**


- 3 x 10<sup>30</sup> kg
- 2 x 10<sup>10</sup> days

6 x 10<sup>40</sup> kg day



## **Neutron Stars: The Optimal Direct Detection Experiment**

 Neutron stars are so dense that they are optically thick to dark matter




$$\sigma_{\rm sat}^{\rm single} \simeq \pi R^2 m_{\rm n}/M \simeq 2 \times 10^{-45} \ {\rm cm}^2 \ \left(\frac{1.5 \ {\rm M}_\odot}{M}\right) \left(\frac{R}{10 \ {\rm km}}\right)^2$$

This saturates the sensitivity of neutron stars to dark matter

## **Neutron Stars: Astrophysics Enhancements**

Neutron stars gravitationally attract nearby dark matter




### Capture radius is approximately 1 Ro

$$b_{ ext{max}} = \left(\frac{2GMR}{v_{ ext{x}}^2}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{R}\right)^{-1/2}$$
  $\dot{m} = \pi b_{ ext{max}}^2 v_{ ext{x}} 
ho_{ ext{x}},$ 

• Interaction scales as  $v_x^{-1}$ , very sensitive to slowly moving dark matter

## **Neutron Stars: Astrophysics Enhancements**

Neutron stars are a dark matter collider



When dark matter hits the neutron star surface it is moving relativistically:


$$v_{esc} = \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}} \sim 0.7c$$

 Can probe p-wave suppressed dark matter or dark matter mass splittings

## **Neutron Stars: Particle Physics Complications**

#### **Typical NS neutron momentum is:**

$$p_{\rm F,n} \simeq 0.45 \ {\rm GeV} \ (\rho_{NS}/(4 \times 10^{38} \ {\rm GeV} \ {\rm cm}^{-3}))$$



This suppresses the interaction cross-section for low mass DM:

$$\sigma_{\rm sat}^{\rm Pauli} \simeq \pi R^2 m_{\rm n} p_{\rm f} / (M \gamma m_{\rm x} v_{\rm esc}) \simeq 2 \times 10^{-45} \ {\rm cm}^2 \ \left(\frac{\rm GeV}{m_{\rm x}}\right) \left(\frac{1.5 \ {\rm M}_{\odot}}{M}\right) \left(\frac{R}{10 \ {\rm km}}\right)^2.$$

## Neutron Stars: Particle Physics Complications

Dark Matter kinetic energy lost in a scatter with a proton is:


$$E_{loss} = \frac{2m_p}{m_\chi} (m_\chi v_\chi^2)$$



Very heavy dark matter requires multiple interactions:

$$\sigma_{\rm sat}^{\rm multi} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-45} \ {\rm cm}^2 \left(\frac{m_{\rm x}}{\rm PeV}\right) \left(\frac{1.5 \ {\rm M}_{\odot}}{M}\right) \left(\frac{R}{10 \ {\rm km}}\right)^2$$
.


## **Neutron Stars: Particle Physics Complications**





**Part I: Neutron Star Heating** 

## Dark Matter Induced Heating



## DM-NS collisions impart significant energy into the NS:


$$E_{\rm s} \simeq m_{\rm x} \left( \gamma - 1 \right)$$

This induces blackbody emission of luminosity:

$$\dot{E}_{\rm k} = \frac{E_{\rm s}\dot{m}}{m_{\rm x}}f \simeq 1.4 \times 10^{25} \ {\rm GeV \ s^{-1}} \ \left(\frac{f}{1}\right),$$

Baryakhtar, Bramante, Li, TL, Raj (1704.01577)

## Dark Matter Induced Heating



If Dark Matter subsequently annihilates, additional energy is injected (de Lavellez & Fairbairn (1004.0629))

$$E_{\rm s} \simeq m_{\rm x} \left( \gamma - 1 \right)$$

Baryakhtar, Bramante, Li, TL, Raj (1704.01577)


## **Detecting Hot Neutron Stars**


Thermal emission detected from young neutron stars

Older neutron stars continue cooling

 Dark matter sets a minimum temperature of ~2000 K (10<sup>22</sup> erg)


#### Potekhin & Chabrier (1711.07662)





## **Detecting Thermal Emission**

Observations at 2000 K require infrared telescopes





JWST 10 nJy in 10<sup>4</sup> s

GMT 0.5 nJy in 10<sup>5</sup> s

A pulsar at 10 pc would have a flux of ~2 nJy at 2 microns

## What Do We Need?

- 1. A nearby pulsar (10-20 pc).
  - Closest observed pulsar: 90 pc (PSR B1055-52)
  - Average Distance to nearest NS: 10 pc (Sartore et al. 0908.3182)

- 2. A model to separate thermal from pulsed emission
- 3. Constraints on thermal injection sources, e.g. gas accretion and magnetic heating.




Part II: Dark Matter Collapse

### The Secret Life of Dark Matter Inside a Neutron Star

Capture - DM hits neutron and elastically scatters

 Thermalization - Trapped dark matter thermalizes with neutron superfluid. If dark matter can annihilate, it will.

 Collapse - Dark matter degeneracy pressure not capable of preventing collapse.



## Dark Matter Parameter Space


Requires dark matter to be non-annihilating.

PeV Fermionic Dark Matter

$$M_{crit}^{ferm} \simeq M_{pl}^3/m_X^2$$


Bosonic Dark Matter

Attractive Self-Interacting Dark Matter



## Strong Constraints are Possible

#### McDermott et al. (1103.5472)



# A Signal

10% of Star Formation in central 200 pc of Milky Way

Only one (very young) pulsar detected The Missing Pulsar problem!

THE PECULIAR PULSAR POPULATION OF THE CENTRAL PARSEC Massive Star Formation in the Galactic Center The Galactic center is a hotbed of star formation site and three of the most massive volume star chr. Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, Ny The Galactic center is a hotbed of star formation site and three of the most massive young star christial mar formation site and three of the most massive young star clear the Galaxy. This review concerns the voime stell. else in the Galaxy. This review concerns the young stelly reason of as it relates to massive star formation in the young stell region. The nonmar stars is as it relates to massive star formation in the stars surrounding the central black hole and the hulk c the stars surrounding the population of younger stars in the Calartic center suovests that the bulk of the recently the stars surrounding the central black hole, and the bulk of the central black hole, and the bulk of the central black hole, and the bulk of the similar nonulations that must have been forn The fossil record in the Galactic center suggests that the recently to the fossil record in the Galactic center suggests that must have been forme.

JASON DEXTER

JASON DEXTER

JASON DEXTER

JASON DEXTER

JASON DEXTER

JASON DEXTER


JASON DEXTER RyAN M. O'LEARY Berkeley, CA 94790.3411, USA


RyAN M. O'LEARY Berkeley, CA 94790.3411, USA

RyAN M. O'LEARY
Of California, 2018


Draft version April 14, 2018

Department of Astronomy, Universion April 14, 2018 ABSTRACT Would be Potential Probes of it.





Radius from galactic center [kpc]




# An Electromagnetic Signal

Gamma-Ray Bursts (observed by Fermi)


Optical emission from the decay of r-process elements


 Fast Radio Bursts are potentially correlated with NS mergers.

#### Fermi GBM Collaboration (1710.05834)





# An Electromagnetic Signal






# An Electromagnetic Signal






### **New Phenomena**



### <u>Merger Kilonovae</u>

Electromagnetic signals and gravitational waves jointly identified.


(proportional to ρ-1<sub>DM</sub>)



#### **Quiet Kilonovae**

Electromagnetic signals without gravitational waves.

(proportional to ρ<sub>DM</sub>).



### **Dark Mergers**


Gravitational waves without any electromagnetic signal.

(proportional to ρ<sub>DM</sub>).


# Finding Dark Matter

 Search for morphology correlated with dark matter density.


• ADM2 model already in tension with GW 170817.



# Constraining Dark Matter - Merger Kilonovae



# Finding Dark Matter - Fast Radio Bursts



#### What Do We Need?

1. New Observations of NS Mergers (gravitational waves, electromagnetic emission, fast radio bursts).

2. Localization of the electromagnetic signatures within galaxies.

3. Improved models for the electromagnetic signals from dark matter induced NS collapse.

# A Window Into Fundamental Physics

- Sensitive probes of rare processes:
  - 1. Nuclear densities over macroscopic distances.
  - 2. Strongest magnetic fields in the universe.

· Precise measurements are possible.

#### One Slide on Axion Dark Matter

#### Axions proposed to solve the strong-CP problem


$$L_{\theta} = \theta \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} F_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{a\mu\nu}$$

If this constant is promoted to a field, its self-interactions drive it to 0:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Theta} \to \mathcal{L}_a = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} a \right)^2 - \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{8\pi f_a} a G \widetilde{G}$$

This term must couple to the EM field, allowing for decays to photons:


$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma} F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} a = g_{a\gamma} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} a$$



# **Detecting Axion Dark Matter**

 We can search for the resonant decay to photons:

$$P_{\rm SIG} = \eta g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 \left(\frac{\rho_a}{m_a}\right) B_0^2 V C Q_L$$





# **Neutron Stars: The Optimal Axion Laboratory**

$$P_{a\gamma} \sim g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 \mathbf{B}^2 L^2$$



#### **ADMX**

- 10 T
- 1 m<sup>2</sup>

#### **Neutron Star**

- 10<sup>10</sup> T
- 10<sup>8</sup> m<sup>2</sup>




### **Neutron Stars: The Optimal Axion Laboratory**

 Resonant interactions occur when plasma frequency equals the axion mass:

$$\omega_p \approx (1.5 \times 10^2 \text{ GHz}) \sqrt{\left(\frac{B_z}{10^{14} \text{ G}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ sec}}{P}\right)}$$

 $= 6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$ 


Mitra et al. (1510.00103)



Need detailed model of NS magnetic fields.

$$r_c(\theta, \theta_m, t) = 224 \text{ km} \times \left| 3\cos\theta \,\hat{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} - \cos\theta_m \right|^{1/3} \times \left( \frac{r_0}{10 \text{ km}} \right) \times \left[ \frac{B_0}{10^{14} \text{ G}} \, \frac{1 \text{ sec}}{P} \left( \frac{1 \text{ GHz}}{m_a} \right)^2 \right]^{1/3}.$$

# **Neutron Stars: The Optimal Axion Laboratory**




- Can place complementary constraints on the QCD axion.
- Specific to models where axions are the dark matter.

Hook et al. (1804.03145)

# Particle Physics Mash-Up

 Can also use neutron star cooling curves to place limits on the axion crosssection.

 Observations of the Cassiopeia A NS, with a known age of 337 years, rule out f<sub>a</sub> < 5 x 10<sup>8</sup> GeV.



Hamaguchi et al. (1806.07151)

#### What Do We Need?

1. Nearby, highly-magnetized pulsar.

2. Better models of the pulsar magnetic field.

3. Sensitive observations of radio lines (different techniques than traditional pulsar searches).


# Finding the Right Neutron Star

DM-NS
Interactions


Constrain Astrophysics

Find Neutron Stars


# Radio Pulses: A Blessing and a Curse




Harding (2016; J Plasma Phys 82)



# A New Method for Detecting Invisible Pulsars



# A New Method for Detecting Invisible Pulsars



 5 / 39 sources in the 2HWC catalog are correlated with bright, middle-aged (100 — 400 kyr) pulsars.

2HWC	ATNF	Distance	Angular	Projected	Expected	Actual	Flux	Expected	Actual	Age	Chance
Name	Name	(kpc)	Separation	Separation	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Ratio	Extension	Extension	(kyr)	Overlap
J0700+143	B0656+14	0.29	0.18°	0.91 pc	43.0	23.0	1.87	2.0°	1.73°	111	0.0
J0631+169	J0633+1746	0.25	0.89°	3.88 pc	48.7	48.7	1.0	2.0°	2.0°	342	0.0
J1912+099	J1913+1011	4.61	0.34°	27.36 pc	13.0	36.6	0.36	0.11°	0.7°	169	0.30
J2031+415	J2032+4127	1.70	0.11°	3.26 pc	5.59	61.6	0.091	0.29°	0.7°	181	0.002
J1831-098	J1831-0952	3.68	0.04°	2.57 pc	7.70	95.8	0.080	0.14°	0.9°	128	0.006

- 12 others with young pulsars
  - 2.3 chance overlaps
  - TeV emission may be contaminated by SNR

2HWC	ATNF	Distance	Angular	Projected	Expected	Actual	Flux	Expected	Actual	Age	Chance
Name	Name	(kpc)	Separation	Separation	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Ratio	Extension	Extension	(kyr)	Overlap
J1930+188	J1930+1852	7.0	0.03°	3.67 pc	23.2	9.8	2.37	0.07°	0.0°	2.89	0.002
J1814-173	J1813-1749	4.7	0.54°	44.30 pc	243	152	1.60	0.11°	1.0°	5.6	0.61
J2019+367	J2021+3651	1.8	0.27°	8.48 pc	99.8	58.2	1.71	0.28°	0.7°	17.2	0.04
J1928+177	J1928+1746	4.34	0.03°	2.27 pc	8.08	10.0	0.81	0.11°	0.0°	82.6	0.002
J1908+063	J1907+0602	2.58	0.36°	16.21 pc	40.0	85.0	0.47	0.2°	0.8°	19.5	0.26
J2020+403	J2021+4026	2.15	0.18°	6.75 pc	2.48	18.5	0.134	0.23°	0.0°	77	0.01
J1857+027	J1856+0245	6.32	0.12°	13.24 pc	11.0	97.0	0.11	0.08°	0.9°	20.6	0.06
J1825-134	J1826-1334	3.61	0.20°	12.66 pc	20.5	249	0.082	0.14°	0.9°	21.4	0.14
J1837-065	J1838-0655	6.60	0.38°	43.77 pc	12.0	341	0.035	$0.08^{\circ}$	2.0°	22.7	0.48
J1837-065	J1837-0604	4.78	0.50°	41.71 pc	8.3	341	0.024	0.10°	2.0°	33.8	0.68
J2006+341	J2004+3429	10.8	0.42°	80.07 pc	0.48	24.5	0.019	0.04°	0.9°	18.5	0.08

# Astrophysical Implications of TeV Halos

TeV halo observations solve many astrophysical puzzles

- Prove that pulsars produce the positron excess (Hooper, Cholis, TL, Fang 1702.08436)
- Explain the TeV gamma-ray excess (TL & Buckman 1707.01905)
- Explain inhomogeneities in cosmic-ray diffusion, (Hooper & TL 1711.07482) (Evoli, TL, Morlino, TBS)
- Explain TeV gamma-rays from the Galactic center (Hooper et al. 1705.09293)

 5 / 39 sources in the 2HWC catalog are correlated with bright, middle-aged (100 — 400 kyr) pulsars.

2HWC	ATNF	Distance	Angular	Projected	Expected	Actual	Flux	Expected	Actual	Age	Chance
Name	Name	(kpc)	Separation	Separation	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Ratio	Extension	Extension	(kyr)	Overlap
J0700+143	B0656+14	0.29	0.18°	0.91 pc	43.0	23.0	1.87	2.0°	1.73°	111	0.0
J0631+169	J0633+1746	0.25	0.89°	3.88 pc	48.7	48.7	1.0	2.0°	2.0°	342	0.0
J1912+099	J1913+1011	4.61	0.34°	27.36 pc	13.0	36.6	0.36	0.11°	0.7°	169	0.30
J2031+415	J2032+4127	1.70	0.11°	3.26 pc	5.59	61.6	0.091	0.29°	0.7°	181	0.002
J1831-098	J1831-0952	3.68	0.04°	2.57 pc	7.70	95.8	0.080	0.14°	0.9°	128	0.006


- 12 others with young pulsars
  - 2.3 chance overlaps
  - TeV emission may be contaminated by SNR


2HWC	ATNF	Distance	Angular	Projected	Expected	Actual	Flux	Expected	Actual	Age	Chance
Name	Name	(kpc)	Separation	Separation	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Flux ( $\times 10^{-15}$ )	Ratio	Extension	Extension	(kyr)	Overlap
J1930+188	J1930+1852	7.0	0.03°	3.67 pc	23.2	9.8	2.37	0.07°	0.0°	2.89	0.002
J1814-173	J1813-1749	4.7	0.54°	44.30 pc	243	152	1.60	0.11°	1.0°	5.6	0.61
J2019+367	J2021+3651	1.8	0.27°	8.48 pc	99.8	58.2	1.71	0.28°	0.7°	17.2	0.04
J1928+177	J1928+1746	4.34	0.03°	2.27 pc	8.08	10.0	0.81	0.11°	0.0°	82.6	0.002
J1908+063	J1907+0602	2.58	0.36°	16.21 pc	40.0	85.0	0.47	0.2°	0.8°	19.5	0.26
J2020+403	J2021+4026	2.15	0.18°	6.75 pc	2.48	18.5	0.134	0.23°	0.0°	77	0.01
J1857+027	J1856+0245	6.32	0.12°	13.24 pc	11.0	97.0	0.11	0.08°	0.9°	20.6	0.06
J1825-134	J1826-1334	3.61	0.20°	12.66 pc	20.5	249	0.082	0.14°	0.9°	21.4	0.14
J1837-065	J1838-0655	6.60	0.38°	43.77 pc	12.0	341	0.035	$0.08^{\circ}$	2.0°	22.7	0.48
J1837-065	J1837-0604	4.78	0.50°	41.71 pc	8.3	341	0.024	0.10°	2.0°	33.8	0.68
J2006+341	J2004+3429	10.8	0.42°	80.07 pc	0.48	24.5	0.019	0.04°	0.9°	18.5	0.08

 Tauris and Manchester (1998) calculated the beaming angle from a population of young an middle-aged pulsars.

This varies between 15-30%.

• 1/f pulsars are unseen in radio RADIATION SURVEYS.






- Correcting for the beaming fraction implies that  $56^{+15}_{-11}$  TeV halos are currently observed by HAWC.
- However, only 39 HAWC sources total.
- Chance overlaps, SNR contamination must be taken into account.

 Tentative Evidence that MSPs also produce these TeV halos.

 MSPs are the coldest and oldest pulsars – important for DM heating.

 Models indicate a MSP should exist within ~50 pc, but none has yet been found.



Hooper & TL (1803.08046)

#### What Do We Need?

1. Continued observations of TeV halos.


2. A model for the confinement and emission of electrons in TeV halos.

3. A method for precisely determining the pulsar position within the TeV halo.


**Evoli, TL, Morlino (TBS)** 

• Early results indicate that pulsars themselves can confine electrons to produce TeV halo emission.

 Analog with cosmic-ray confinement in supernova remnants.



 More detailed models including reacceleration and joint supernova/pulsar emission are necessary.



## The Program

- 1. Understand Dark Matter/Neutron Star Interactions
  - Can already set strong constraints on some models
    - 1. Asymmetric Dark Matter
    - 2. Axions

Can probe extremely generic dark matter models.

DM-NS Interactions

Constrain Astrophysics Find Neutron Stars

# The Program


- 1. Understand Dark Matter/Neutron Star Interactions
  - Can already set strong constraints on some models
    - 1. Asymmetric Dark Matter
    - 2. Axions
  - Can probe extremely generic dark matter models.

- 2. Differentiate dim dark matter signals from astrophysics
  - Need detailed models of neutron star physics.
  - Requires observations of pulsars with "special" attributes
    - 1. Nearby
    - 2. Strong Magnetic Fields
    - 3. Not Beamed Towards Earth

DM-NS Interactions

Constrain Astrophysics Find Neutron Stars









### Conclusions


 Pulsars have unique characteristics that are optimally suited for new physics searches.

• Early studies can set strong constraints on the asymmetric dark matter and axion parameter spaces.


 Our observational techniques are in their infancy. The next decade will revolutionize the field in several directions.

# **Extra Slides**

# **Emission Morphologies**



# **Evolutionary History of Millisecond Pulsars**




### **Dark Matter Thermalization**

Bertoni et al. (2013; 1309.1721)

 Dark Matter thermalization is always suppressed by Pauli blocking.

 Superfluidity and superconductivity effects in the NS core also have a sizable effect.



However, if DM is trapped within the NS, interactions are inevitable.
 in pessimistic scenarios, DM thermalizes in a timeframe:

$$t_{th} \simeq 3.7 \text{ kyr} \frac{\frac{m_X}{m_B}}{(1 + \frac{m_X}{m_B})^2} \left(\frac{2 \times 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2}{\sigma_{nX}}\right) \left(\frac{10^5 \text{ K}}{T_{NS}}\right)^2$$

## Dark Matter Collapse


- Two paths are possible:
  - If dark matter can annihilate, the large densities make annihilation inevitable.
  - If dark matter cannot annihilate, dark matter builds mass until it exceeds its own degeneracy pressure. For Fermionic dark matter this is:

$$M_{crit}^{ferm} \simeq M_{pl}^3/m_X^2$$

It then collapses on a timescale:

$$au_{
m co} \simeq rac{1}{n\sigma_{n{
m x}}v_{
m x}} \left(rac{p_F}{\Delta p}\right) \left(rac{m_{
m x}}{2m_n}\right) \ \simeq 4 imes 10^5 \ {
m yrs} \left(rac{10^{-45} \ {
m cm}^2}{\sigma_{
m nx}}\right) \left(rac{r_x}{r_0}\right),$$

## The Missing Pulsar Problem



Large pulse dispersion was reasonable culprit

$$\Delta \tau \sim 1 \text{ s} \left(\frac{\text{Ghz}}{\nu}\right)^4$$

- Magnetar found in X-Ray observations in 2013.
  - No pulse dispersion in X-Rays
- Magnetar subsequently found in radio
- Pulse dispersion is small!
- Why aren't any other pulsars observed !?


### **Gravitational Waves from NS Collapse**

Gravitational Waves from DM induced collapse

$$h_c \sim 5 \times 10^{-22} \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right) \left(\frac{10 \text{ kpc}}{D}\right) \ @ 531 \text{ Hz},$$


Baiotti et al. (gr-qc/0701043)


- Single NS collapse models have been considered (primarily from accretion induced collapse).
- DM induced NS collapse observable throughout the Milky Way (0.01 yr<sup>-1</sup>?)



# Differentiating Black Hole and NS Mergers


- Anomalies in the tidal strain of binary neutron star mergers.
  - DM induced NS collapse produces a population of 1.4 M<sub>o</sub> black holes.
  - Can potentially see differences in merger and ring-down, but not presently feasible.






Littenburg et al. (1503.03179)


# Particle Physics Mash-Up




- Low mass axions can mediate forces between inspiraling neutron stars, providing effects comparable to gravity.
- LIGO observations can probe the low-mass axion window.




	Description	Symbol	Value
Indepen- dent para- meters	Physical baryon density parameter <sup>[a]</sup>	$\Omega_{\rm b} h^2$	0.022 30 ±0.000 14
	Physical dark matter density parameter <sup>[a]</sup>	$\Omega_{\rm c} h^2$	0.1188 ±0.0010
	Age of the universe	$t_0$	13.799 ±0.021 × 10 <sup>9</sup> years
	Scalar spectral index	n <sub>s</sub>	0.9667 ±0.0040
	Curvature fluctuation amplitude, $k_0 = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$	$\Delta_{R}^2$	$2.441^{+0.088}_{-0.092} \times 10^{-9[17]}$
	Reionization optical depth	τ	0.066 ±0.012





meters	Scalar spectral index	n <sub>s</sub>	0.9667 ±0.0040
	Curvature fluctuation amplitude, $k_0 = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$	$\Delta_{R}^2$	$2.441^{+0.088}_{-0.092} \times 10^{-9[17]}$
	Reionization optical depth	τ	0.066 ±0.012






### One Slide On WIMP Dark Matter






 The standard WIMP freeze-out scenario is still the bestmotivated model to explain the Dark Matter abundance.


# **Direct Detection**




# Dark Matter Flux Depends on NS EOS




## **Axion and Neutrino Cooling in Neutron Stars**



Hamaguchi et al. (1806.07151)




## What is the r-process?




#### The Origin of the Solar System Elements



Graphic created by Jennifer Johnson



# **Fast Radio Bursts**



### One More Slide on Axion Dark Matter

#### Axions proposed to solve the strong-CP problem


$$L_{\theta} = \theta \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} F_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{a\mu\nu}$$

This is the sum of two different terms, that independently must be small.

$$\left|\Theta_{\rm QCD} + {\rm arg \ det} \ M_q\right| \lesssim 10^{-9}$$

This provides you with an independent way to solve the strong-CP problem - by setting  $m_u = 0$ .

However, this appears to be at odds with experimental data.



Marsh (2015; 1510.07633)

### **QCD Axion Mass Bounds**


QCD Axion obtains its mass from its decay constant and the coupling to quarks:

$$m_a = \frac{f_{\pi} m_{\pi}}{f_a} \left( \frac{z}{(1+z+w)(1+z)} \right)^{1/2}$$
  
= 0.60 eV  $\frac{10^7 \text{ GeV}}{f_a}$ ,

The high-mass range of the QCD axion (low-f<sub>a</sub>) is set by the constraint that the axion never comes into thermal equilibrium (and light-through a wall and astrophysical constraints).

The low mass (high-fa) limit is set such that axions don't overclose the universe:

$$\Omega_a h^2 \approx 0.23 \times 10^{\pm 0.6} (f_a/10^{12} \,\text{GeV})^{1.175} \,\Theta_i^2 \,F(\Theta_i)$$




NS is a conductor with a strong rotating magnetic field. Thus, an electric field and current are formed.

In these simulations the NS is not a perfect dipole.

# A Signal

10% of Star Formation in central 200 pc of Milky Way



Bramante & TL (1601.06784)

- The dispersion velocity in dwarfs is also small.
  - Reticulum II: 3.3 +/- 0.7 km s<sup>-1</sup> (Simon et al. 2015)

 Dark matter accumulation rate scales inversely with velocity:


$$\dot{m}_{\mathrm{x}} = \pi \rho_{\mathrm{x}} \frac{2GMR}{v_{\mathrm{x}}} \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{R}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\simeq \frac{10^{26} \text{ GeV}}{\text{s}} \left(\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{x}}}{\text{GeV/cm}^3}\right) \left(\frac{200 \text{ km/s}}{v_{\mathrm{x}}}\right),$$


 Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies are an optimal laboratory for asymmetric dark matter detection.

- Reticulum II dSph
  - Discovered by DES in 2015
  - Spectroscopic follow-up determined r-process abundances.
  - Large r-process abundance, but low metallicity!


 Points to a rare formation channel (NS mergers)



 Normalize the nuclear cross-section to the missing pulsar problem.



- Supernovae produce
   ~100 events.
- Mergers produce
   ~0.0005 events
- DM induced collapse produces ~0.1-3 events.




Roederer 2011 (1104.5056)


Prediction: Globular Clusters should not be similarly r-

process enriched.


 In fact, no globular cluster has been observed to have an rprocess overabundance exceeding 1.2 dex.



 6 of 9 stars in Reticulum II have r-process enrichment exceeding 1.68 dex.




### **Pulsars Produce the Positron Excess**



 Can calculate the gamma-ray spectrum necessary to fit the Geminga data from HAWC and Milagro

 Can use this to calculate the underlying steady-state electron and positron spectrum

### **Pulsars Produce the Positron Excess**



 Utilizing a diffusion model, along with the steady state electron spectrum, and the morphology of the emission, can calculate the fraction of the electron energy lost before escaping the halo.


Less energetic electrons make it to the ISM!

### **Pulsars Produce the Positron Excess**

 In these models, Geminga naturally produces ~50% of the positron excess.

 The total contribution from the remaining Milky Way pulsars produces the remaining emission.


Difficult to understand TeV
halo spectrum if pulsars do not
make the positron excess.



### **Pulsars Produce the TeV Excess**

 Milagro detected bright diffuse TeV emission along the Galactic plane.

 The intensity of this emission is incompatible with hadronic models constrained by Fermi and Argo-YBJ data.





TL & Buckman (1707.01905)

 TeV halos produce a hard spectrum component that naturally explains the intensity and spectrum of this emission.

## Pulsars Produce Anisotropic Diffusion

- Diffusion near TeV halo is known to be suppressed
   by two orders of magnitude!
- Diffusion constant near us must be high to explain observations of 10 TeV electrons.
- Pulsars produce regions of low-diffusion, where TeV halos shine!






## Pulsars Produce Anisotropic Diffusion


- Cosmic-Ray electrons produced by the pulsar obtain a steep gradient.
- This excites Alfven
   waves moving parallel to
   the electron gradient.


$$\Gamma_{\rm CR}(k) = \frac{2\pi}{3} \frac{c|v_A|}{kW(k)U_0} \left[ p^4 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right]_{p_{\rm res}}$$



 These Alfven waves dominate cosmic-ray turbulence, because they are resonant with the electron energy leads to low diffusion

### **Pulsars Produce Galactic Center Pevatron**





- The spectrum of the HESS pevatron looks like the Geminga spectrum.
- Diffuse electrons can be made via pulsar natal kicks.